

August 30, 2007

Mr. Michael Hartsock
Senior Campaign Finance Analyst
Reports Analysis Division
Federal Election Commission

Dear Mr. Hartsock:

This filing constitutes a response to both of your Requests for Additional Information dated August 1, 2007.

We have amended our filings to address all of the concerns raised in the letters. Specifically, we have

1. Amended our May Monthly Report to provide an explanation for each earmarked contribution listed in Schedule A that does not have a coresponding entry in Schedule B.
2. Amended our June Monthly Report to provide an explanation for each earmarked contribution listed in Schedule A that does not have a coresponding entry in Schedule B.
3. Amended our June Monthly Report to provide the address and candidate state for each contribution reported in Schedule B, line 23.
4. Amended our June, July and August Monthly Reports to correct the year-to-date totals for our expenditures reported on schedule H4.
5. Amedned our June Monthly Report to include the appropriate allocated activity or event category for all expenditures reported on Schedule H4, Line 21(a).

And in response to question 5 on the letter concerning our June Monthly Report:

6. None of the expenditures made for 'Fundraising: April NY' and 'Professional Fees: Fundraising' are on behalf of specifically identified federal candidates. All such expenditures are fundraising expenditures for donor prospecting that has not yet resulted in donations and is therefore allocated based on our basic 50/50 ratio for expenses. If this is not the correct way to report such donor prospecting, please let us know.

We hope that these amended filings (and answer #6) fully answers your concerns.

Sincerely yours,

Matt DeBergalis
Treasurer
