Clean Up Congress

Clean Up Congress

6010 Claiborne Dr.

McLean, VA 22101

April 9, 1996

Jan McBride Reports Analysis Division FEIL 999 E St. NW Washington, D.C. 20463

Dear Ms. McBride,

Ö

90

 \circ

I am in receipt of your letter of April 3, 1996 regarding the discrepancy between Clean Up Congress's actual and "paper" bank account balances. As I mentioned in previous correspondence, I have been working on this problem ever since I discovered it more than a year ago. I have finally worked it out.

I found that in 1994 the various Clean Up Congress offices under-reported the dollar amount of the small contributions they received. The under-reporting was an inadverdent result of our rather decentralized fundraising method, which I will explain below. The extent of the under-reporting was \$7,259 or 2 percent of the total small contributions that Clean Up Congress received in 1994.

I now submit amended versions of form 3X (pages 1 and 2) for all nine of the reports that Clean Up Congress filed with the Commission in 1994 and of our two 1995 reports. The amended reports produce a cash-on-hand balance at the end of 1995 that matches the actual amount in our bank account at the end of 1995, \$691.68.

Having supplied this summary of the problem and solution, I now want to give you detailed explanations of how the problem cropped up and how I plan to ensure that it does not recur.

Clean Up Congress receives 99% of its contributions through a method that most political committees do not use -- door-to-door canvassing. We very rarely use the more traditional methods such as fundraising receptions (which you mentioned in your April 3, 1996 letter) or mail solicitations. Instead, on any given weeknight during the peak of the campaign, we have dozens of environmental activists knocking on doors in all of the cities where we are operating. The door-knockers have several goals, such as registering and educating voters, but they also solicit contributions. Each night they bring these contributions back to the local office, where they are counted and the money is deposited in a local bank. Later the money is moved from this local bank to a bank near our central

office (in 1994 the central office and its bank were in Arlington, Virginia), and Clean Up Congress checks are drawn on that bank.

Before each of the nine FEC reports of 1994, I called each of the four office directors and asked how much money they had raised during the last report, in both large contributions (annually aggregating over \$200) and small contributions. I then totalled these numbers for each FEC report. Inevitably discrepancies arose during the long chain between the donor, the door-to-door canvasser, the canvasser's field manager, the director of that local office, and me at the central office. The discrepancy was not large -- only 2% of the dollar total -- but for a group that operates as close to a zero balance as Clean Up Congress does, the discrepancy became significant, because eventually it showed Clean Up Congress having a negative cash balance, which we did not really have.

Here is how I plan to eliminate this 2% discrepancy for the future. I will have all of the satellite banks send their monthly statements directly to me at the central office. Then I will add up the total amount deposited in each of our satellite banks since the last FEC report. Since I will have all of the bank statements in the central office, I will be able to avoid what appears to have been the two big problems in 1994. These were:

- (1) the receipts totals that the various directors called in to me were based on reports from door-to-door canvassers (passed through field managers), not on bank statements. So if a piece of paper listing an amount collected by a particular canvasser on a particular night was lost, then the money raised by that canvasser on that night did not go into the total of Clean Up Congress's receipts.
- (2) when the directors of the various offices called me to report how much their offices had raised since the previous report, there was apparently sometimes a miscommunication between then and me regarding the exact dates of the last day of the previous report and the first day of the present report. Thus the amounts collected on some of those in-between days "fell through the cracks" between the two reports and were not reported at all. You can see that this problem will be solved if I have all of the various bank statements in my hands as I do the reports; quite literally I will be able to draw a line between the deposits that were included in the present FEC report and those that need to be reported in the next one.

(I want to emphazise to you again, as I have in previous correspondence, that Clean Up Congress <u>did</u> succeed in correctly ascertaining and reporting how much it received from larger contributors — those who gave an aggregate of \$200 or more for the year. We had a separate, and I think foolproof, system for recording them.)

Finally I want to say how I corrected the discrepancy on the 1994 and 1995 reports. It was not possible to ascertain exactly when the various small discrepancies that added up to the big 2% discrepancy occurred. So I have allocated that 2% discrepancy among all nine of the reports that Clean Up Congress filed with the FEC in 1994. Since we collected more money during some of the reporting periods than during others, I have

allocated the additional \$7,259 among the nine reports in proportion to the amount that each report contributed to Clean Up Congress's total receipts for 1994. This was a rather tedious process, but it was the only one available to me, since there were gaps (minor, but bigger than the 2% discrepancy I was trying to fix) in my collection of the thousand so of bank statements, deposit slips, and other records turned into me by the hundreds of people who raised money for Clean Up Congress in 1994.

I am proud of the method that Clean Up Congress uses to raise its contributions—grassroots fundraising helps ensure that Clean Up Congress remains reponsive to the wishes of the grassroots Americans who fund us. But our very-decentralized system of 1994 allowed for a great deal of human error. Although we will continue to use the same fundraising system in the future, I will change our receipts reporting system by receiving monthly statements directly from the banks. This should prevent future discrepancies. If you have any questions, please note that my telephone number for the next three weeks will be (804) 947-8727. Then it will revert to (703) 821-2745 until further notice.

S

ሌ

Sincerely,

Abner L. Holton, III

12 Ina Martin

Treasurer

For Other Then An Authorized Committee (Summery Page) 1. NAME OF COMMITTEE (IN 1981) USE FEC MALNG LABEL OR TYPE OR PRINT ag' na an 'go 2. FEC IDENTIFICATION NUMBER 3. This committee has qualified as a multicandidate committee. (see FEC FORM 1M) 4. TYPE OF REPORT (a) April 15 Quarterly Report Monthly Report Due On: ☐ February 20. _h/m≥20 October 20 July 15 Quarterly Report March 20 ☐ July 20 ☐ November 20 April 20 August 20 □ December 20 October 15 Quarterly Report ☐ May 20 September 20 January 31 January 31 Year End Report Twelfith day report preceding Type of Elections July 31 Mid Year Report (Non-election Year Only) election on _ in the State of ____ Thirtisth day report following the General Election on Termination: Report in the State of ___ __ **(b)** is this Report an Amendment? SUMMARY COLUMN A COLUMN B Covering Period This Period Calenday Year-to-Date \$ 10, 438, 19 **(a)** Cash on Hand January 1, 1994 432.19 (b) Cash on Hand of Beginning of Reporting Period (c) Total Receipts (from Line 19) (d) Subtotal (add Lines 6(b) and 6(c) for Column A and 40 Lines 6(a) and 6(c) for Column 6) \Box 7. Total Disbursements (from Line 30) Cash on Hand at Close of Reporting Period (subtract Line 7 from Line 6(d)) ... Debts and Obligations Owed TO the Committee (flernize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D) For further information cornet: Federal Election Commission Dabts and Obligations Owed BY the Commisses 999 E Street, NW (tierrize all on Schedule C and/or Schedule D) Washington, DC 20063 I certify that I have examined this Report and to the best of my knowledge and belief it is true, correct Tell Free 800-424-8500 and complete. Local 202-219-3420 Type or Print Name of Tressurer Halton ш Signature of Treasurer Dalia NOTE: Submission of tales, erroneous, or incomplete information may subject the person signing this Report to the penalties of 2 U.S.C. §437g. FEC FORM 3X (revised 9/93) PESANDO

REPURT OF HEGEIP IS AND DISBURSEMENTS

OF RECEIPTS AND DISBURSEMENTS
PAGE 2, FEC FORM 3X

(revised 1/1/91)

	PAGE 2, FEC FORM 3X		(revised 1/1/91)
YAME OF COM	MMITTEE Clean Up Congress	REPORT COVERING PERIOD FROM 1-1-94	TO: 3-31-94
	L Receipts	COLUMN A Total This Period	COLUMN B Calender Year
11.	Contributions (other than loans) From:	1	1
	a. Movetupi/Persons Other Than Political Committees	1	1
	I. Hamized (use Schedule A)	1 ^	11040
i	1. Uniternized	62,460,13	
I	II. Total		92 460.13 11(a)(i)
	b. Political Party Committees	<u> </u>	\$2, 466.73 (1)(a)(0)
	c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	<u> </u>	11(6)
	d. Total Contributions		
12	Transfers From Affiliated/Other Party Committees	£2,465.13	2 466 13 11(d)
13.	All Loans Received	<u> </u>	12 (3
14.	Loan Repayments Received		
15.	Offsets To Operating Expenditures (Retunds, Rebates, etc.)	195.64	-
16.	Refunds of Contributions Made to Federal Cendidates and Other Political Committees	\$4.60 	54.61 FS
17.	Other Federal Receipts (Dividencia, Interest, etc.)	/ 	<u> </u>
18.	Transfers Core Manhaderal Research for falst Buttothe	<u> </u>	17
	Transfers from Nonlederal Account for Joint Activity	D	18 18
10. 20	Total Receipts	/="	82. 71c 28 19
	Total Federal Receipts	<u> 69, 710.38</u>	\$2,710,28 20
- 9	ff. Diaburaemente		
21.	Operating Expenditures:	l	i j
ov *"	Shared Federal Mon-Federal Activity (from Schedule H4)	t	1
1			l second
C)	i. Federal Share	, <u>D</u>	0 21(e)(f)
M	ii. Non-Federal Share		21(e)(f)
. 2	b. Other Federal Operating Expanditures	19 412.04	18, 413 or 21(b)
	c. Total Operating Expenditures	(8,412.64	11. 412.44 21(c)
70	Transfers to Affiliated/Other Party Committees	D	022
⊃ 23.	Contributions to Federal Condidates/Committees and Other Political Committees	F	23
24.	Independent Expenditures (use Schedule E)	50,531,28	56, 3 × 2× 2*
ا-25 و√م	Coordinated Expenditures Made by Party Committees (2 U.S.C. 441a(d)) (use Schedule F)		C %
26.	Loan Repayments Made	1263, 36	(2.63.36 20
	Loans Made		0 77
28.	Refunds of Contributions To:		
	Individuals/Persons Other Their Political Committees	Δ	O 28(s)
(ON	b. Pullical Party Committees	ā	2 280
	c. Other Political Committees (such as PACs)	<i>b</i>	O 28(c)
	d. Total Contribution Refunds	_ 0	O 28(d)
29,	Other Disbursements	0	D 29
30.	Total Disbursements	70,006,68	70 0 6 lat 30
31	Total Federal Disbursements	74 Abb. 68	70 000.60 31
	IR. Nei Contributions/Operating Expenditures		,
32 . 1	Total Contributions (other than loans)(from line 114)	82,46013	82,460 13
33.	Total Contribution Refunds (from line 28d)	0	<i>D</i> 33
34.	Nat Contributions (other than loans)(subtract line 33 from 32)	82,460 s	\$2,460 th
35.	Total Federal Operating Expenditures(edd 21 a l and 21 b) >=	18,412,64	18, 412, 04
38. (Offsets to Operating Expenditures (from line 15)	<u> 54.61</u>	54.61 36
37	Net Operating Expenditures	18, 357.43	13,357.43
1			FESAND OS

Federal Election Commission

ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS

The Commission has added this name to the and of this Sline to led!

how it was received.	ad one bage to me end of this ming to indicate
Hand Delivered	DATÉ OF RECEIPT
First Class Mail	POSTMARKED
Registered/Certified	Maîl POSTMARKED
No Postmark	
Postmark (flegible	
Received from the H and Registration	louse Office of Records
Received from the S	enate Office of Public
Other (Specify):	POSTMARKED
<u>-</u>	and/or DATE OF RECEIPT
846	Cl-+5-46
PREPARER	DATE PREPARED

 \odot