ETEXT ATTACHMENT

07/27/2005 16 : 44

July 26, 2005

Brandis L. Zehr
Campaign Finance Analyst
Reports Analysis Division
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: February, March, April and May 2005 Monthly Reports

Dear Ms. Zehr:

I am in receipt of your letters dated July 1 and 8, 2005 regarding the February, March, April and May 2005 Monthly reports filed by Together For Our Majority Political Action Committee (TOMPAC). Please consider this letter a response to each of your letters.

First, you ask for clarification of all expenditures described as "event deposit," "room deposit," and other similar descriptions identified in your letters. You state that if a portion of these expenditures were made on behalf of specifically identified federal candidates then this amount should be disclosed on Schedule B or E supporting Lines 23 or 24. TOMPAC is aware of the requirement that expenditures made on behalf of candidates are to be disclosed on line 23 or 24 of our reports and does so when required. However, none of the expenditures identified in your letters were made in support of specifically identified federal candidates. Rather, these expenditures were for generic fundraising activities on behalf of TOMPAC. The same would be true for similar expenditures identified on the June and July 2005 monthly reports. To prevent future inquiries on this issue TOMPAC will identify these expenditures as being for generic fundraising in the future.

Second, you ask for clarification of whether expenditures for "direct mail," "postage," "mail copy production" "mailshop/printing," and "printing" contained express advocacy which would constitute an in-kind contribution or independent expenditure. As with the expenditures addressed above, these expenditures also were made in connection with generic fundraising on behalf of TOMPAC. They did not contain express advocacy of any candidate's election or defeat and were not for voter drive activity. Thus, amendments to the reports are not required. Again, TOMPAC will appropriately report expenditures that contain express advocacy if they are made.

Finally, you ask for clarification regarding TOMPAC's payment of administrative expenses. As previously indicated, please be advised that all administrative expenses incurred by the committee have been adequately disclosed, and that the Committee does pay its consultant, William Oorbeek, for services. Finally, the Committee has no connected organization.

Sincerely,
Paul Scolese