## STRUMWASSER & WOOCHER LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

10940 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 2000 Los Angeles, California 90024 RECEIVED

TELEPHONE: (310) 576-1233

FACSIMILE: (310) 319-0156

2013 JAN -8 PM 1: O YWW.STRUMWOOCH.COM

FEC MAIL CENTER

FREDRIC D. WOOCHER
MICHAEL J. STRUMWASSER
GREGORY G. LUKE †
BRYCE A. GEE
BEVERLY GROSSMAN PALMER
RACHEL A. DEUTSCH
PATRICIA T. PEI

†Also admitted to practice in New York ‡Also admitted to practice in Massachusetts

January 7, 2013

Nicole Della Rocco Federal Elections Commission Reports Analysis Division 999 E St, NW Washington, DC 20463

Re: Committee to Elect an Effective Valley Congressman (C00507228)

Dear Ms. Della Rocco:

The Committee to Elect an Effective Valley Congressman provides this response to your December 4, 2012 letter regarding the 12 Day Pre-General Report for the period of 10/1/2012 to 10/17/2012. The Committee will be filing an amended report that addresses the vast majority of concerns outlined in your letter. This letter responds to each of your concerns, noting which are addressed in the amended report that will be filed shortly.

- 1. The totals in the amended report now add up correctly.
- 2. On the amended report, these items now contain amounts matching on Schedule D and Schedule E.
- 3. These items appear as a Memo Schedule E item on the amended report.
- 4. The Committee did not fail to file any 48 Hour Reports. The items on Schedule E identified in the attachment were not disseminated on the dates shown on Schedule E. Schedule E shows the date the invoices were paid. These communications were disseminated after the period included in the Pre-General Report and the appropriate disclosure was filed when the communications were disseminated. The amended report clarifies with a memo on Schedule E that the communications were not disseminated during the reporting period.
- 5. The software that the Committee is utilizing presently will not allow us to report an item on Schedule E if the item was not paid or invoiced during the reporting period. The Committee understands the FEC's concerns regarding the absence of these entries from Schedule E and is working with our software provider to address them. We timely filed the 48 Hour Reports for all expenditures disseminated during the period, and disclosed all payments on the prior Quarterly Report. We will arnend our Pre-General Report again after we have been able to address these concerns with our software provider.

Nicole Della Rocco January 7, 2013 Page 2

6. The amended report clarifies on Schedule E that the dates on these entries reflect the date of payment, not the date the communication was disseminated, which caused an inconsistency between the 48 Hour Reports and Schedule E.

Please let me know if you need additional clarification on any of the above.

Sincerely,

Beverly G. Palmer

## **Federal Election Commission ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS** The FEC added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received. Date of Receipt **Hand Delivered** Postmarked **USPS First Class Mail** Postmarked (R/C) **USPS** Registered/Certified Postmarked **USPS** Priority Mail Delivery Confirmation™ or Signature Confirmation™ Label Postmarked **USPS Express Mail** Postmark Illegible No Postmark **Shipping Date** Overnight Delivery Service (Specify): FED EXP 1/7/13 Next Business Day Delivery **Date of Receipt** Received from House Records & Registration Office **Date of Receipt** Received from Senate Public Records Office Date of Receipt Received from Electronic Filing Office Date of Receipt or Postmarked Other (Specify): DATE PREPARED PREPARER

(3/2005)