

LAW OFFICES
NICHOLAS C. VASELS
2040 MAIN STREET
SUITE 1300
IRVINE, CALIFORNIA 92614
TELEPHONE: (949) 260-4791
FACSIMILE: (949) 260-4792

2003 JAN 22 A 10:11

January 16, 2003

Samantha Dachik
Reports Analyst
Reports Analysis Division
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

Re: Identification No.: C00257337
Amended Mid-Year Report (9/1/01-6/30/01) dated 10/23/01
12 Day Pre-Primary Report (1/1/02-2/13/02)

Dear Ms. Dachik,

Please be confirmed that this office represents the Ken Calvert for Congress Committee (the "Committee").

Thank you for our telephone conversation on January 14, 2002 concerning the above-referenced matters, including your letters of August 1, 2002 and August 9, 2002 to Varis Smith, the Committee's Treasurer, regarding the subject reports. Please forgive the delay in our response to your correspondence; however, the Committee underwent certain staff changes during the time your letters were received, and insofar as there were no deadlines set forth in either of your letters for the report amendments requested in both, I believe that the Committee inadvertently overlooked making more timely responses as I have been asked to do here.

I understand from our conversation that the Column B figures subject of your August 1, 2002 and August 9, 2002 letters to Varis Smith, the Committee's Treasurer, need to be reconciled, because there is a difference between the Column itemizations and the Column totals, such difference first appearing in the 10/23/01 Amended Report and then again in the 2/13/02 Pre-Primary Report. I also understand from our conversation that the error has been carried forward yet again through another report regarding which you recently sent a similar letter (which, as I also indicated when we spoke, has not yet been received by either Mr. Smith or the Committee as far as I am aware).

My call to you was prompted because neither I nor the Committee's accountant were able to ascertain, by your letters, exactly the problem giving rise to your requests for amendments. Although your letters indicate otherwise, the Summary and Detailed Summary Page(s) do appear

Samantha Dachik
Reports Analyst
January 16, 2003
page two

to reflect only election cycle-to-date figures, and we have yet to pinpoint the numerical discrepancy you described when we spoke.

You also indicated during our conversation that your letters to Mr. Smith were merely informational and that amendments were actually not necessary to avoid compliance actions. However, we note that your letters did request amended reports, and therefore we continue to seek to identify the items of concern so that we may promptly prepare and file amended reports, as appropriate, when we do.

While we appreciate your courtesy and helpfulness during our January 14 discussion, your further assistance may be necessary, and you will forgive me if either I or Ms. Dee St. Clair of Mr. Smith's office call on you again in the next few days in these regards.

Should you have any questions or comments in the interim, please do not hesitate to let me know. Regards.

Very truly yours,



Nicholas C. Vasels

NCV:st

cc: Dee St. Clair
Gary Rawlings

Federal Election Commission

ENVELOPE REPLACEMENT PAGE FOR INCOMING DOCUMENTS

The Commission has added this page to the end of this filing to indicate how it was received.

<input type="checkbox"/> Hand Delivered	Date of Receipt
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> First Class Mail	POSTMARKED 1/16/03
<input type="checkbox"/> Registered/Certified Mail	POSTMARKED (R/C)
<input type="checkbox"/> No Postmark	
<input type="checkbox"/> Postmark Illegible	
<input type="checkbox"/> Received from the House office of Records and Registration	Date of Receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> Received from the Senate Office of Public Records	Date of Receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Specify):	Postmarked _____ and/or Date of Receipt
<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic Filing	
<i>JA</i> PREPARER	1/22/03 DATE PREPARED