

A-G79 @ @ B9CI G'H9LH'fl 97 : cfa ' - - Ł

In response to your letter dated December 3, 2013, the Committee explains further the following disbursements that were reported on Line 28a of its Mid-Year 2013 Report:

1.GCA Services Group: January 18, 2013; \$667.79

For some time, GCA has been an employer of members of the Committee's connected organization who contribute by payroll deduction. On January 9, 2013, GCA sent the Committee a check in the amount of \$879.79 that regularly appeared to include such contributions. The Committee deposited the check on January 14. On January 18, GCA informed the Committee that GCA had erred and the check should have been in the amount of \$212.00, and the Committee refunded the overage. The overage amount was reported as unitemized contributions on Line 11(a)(ii).

2.Paris Maintenance Co., Inc: February 8, 2013; \$1,946.00

For some time, Paris also has been an employer of members of the Committee's connected organization who contribute by payroll deduction. On January 10, 2013, Paris sent two checks to the Committee in the respective amounts of \$1,854.00 and \$2,044.00, which regularly appeared to include such contributions. The Committee deposited the checks on January 17. Paris subsequently informed the Committee that it had erroneously twice paid \$1,946.00 of the total \$3,898.00 on behalf of contributors who worked at the same location. The Committee immediately refunded the overage to Paris. The Committee reported the receipts as unitemized contributions on Line 11(a)(ii).

3.ISS Facility Services ? IFS US 801: March 6, 2013; \$855.00

For some time, ISS has also been an employer of members of the Committee's connected organization who contribute by payroll deduction. On January 25, 2013, ISS sent a check to the Committee in the amount of \$855.00, which regularly appeared to include such contributions, and the Committee deposited it on January 30. The Committee subsequently contacted ISS for clarification and learned that ISS had erred and the payment was intended for an unrelated vendor. The Committee immediately refunded the full amount to ISS. The Committee reported the receipt as unitemized contributions on Line 11(a)(ii).

Finally, we note that your letter characterizes each of the receipts discussed above as "an apparent misdeposit of contributions originally intended for the [committee's] non-federal account." As we have explained, that is not the case.