



FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20463

RQ-2

Duane Neal, Treasurer  
Asa Hutchinson for Congress Committee  
P.O. Box 2222  
Fort Smith, AR 72902

MAY 22 2001

Identification Number: C00320168

Reference: Year End Report (11/28/00-12/31/00)

Dear Mr. Neal:

This letter is prompted by the Commission's preliminary review of the report(s) referenced above. The review raised questions concerning certain information contained in the report(s). An itemization follows:

-Column B, Line 6(a) of the Summary Page should equal Column B, Line 11(e) of the Detailed Summary Page.

-Schedule A of your report discloses contributions received after the General Election which are designated for the general. These contributions may be accepted to the extent that the committee has net debts outstanding from the general. (11 CFR §110.1(b)(3)(i))

A contribution is considered to be made when the contributor relinquishes control over the contribution. A contributor shall be considered to have relinquished control over the contribution when it is delivered to the candidate, when it is delivered to an authorized committee of the candidate, or to an agent of an authorized committee of the candidate. A contribution that is mailed to any of the aforementioned recipients will be considered to have been made on the date of the postmark. Envelopes should be retained for the committee's records. (11 CFR §110.1(b)(6))


If the contribution(s) accepted exceeds the amount of net debts outstanding from the general election, you should refund the contribution(s) or seek

redesignation of the contribution(s), in writing, from the contributor to the next election. The Commission should be notified if a refund is necessary. Refunds are reported on Line 20 of the Detailed Summary Page and on a supporting Schedule B of the report covering the period during which the refund is made. Redesignations are reported as memo entries on a Schedule A of the report covering the period in which the authorization for the redesignation is received. (11 CFR §104.8(d)(2))

Although the Commission may take further legal action, prompt action by you to refund or seek redesignation of the excessive amount(s) will be considered.

A written response or an amendment to your original report(s) correcting the above problem(s) should be filed with the Federal Election Commission within fifteen (15) days of the date of this letter. If you need assistance, please feel free to contact me on our toll-free number, (800) 424-9530 (at the prompt press 1, then press 2 to reach the Reports Analysis Division). My local number is (202) 694-1130.

Sincerely,



Kimberly M. Willis  
Reports Analyst  
Reports Analysis Division

The first part of the paper discusses the importance of the research and the objectives of the study. It then proceeds to a literature review, followed by a description of the methodology used. The results of the study are presented in the next section, followed by a discussion of the findings and their implications. The paper concludes with a summary of the main points and a list of references.

The research was conducted in a laboratory setting, using a series of experiments to measure the effects of different factors on the system. The results show that the system is highly sensitive to changes in the input parameters, and that the output is highly variable. This suggests that the system is not very robust, and that it may be difficult to control in a practical setting.

The findings of the study have several implications for the design and control of the system. First, it is important to carefully select the input parameters, and to monitor the system closely for any changes. Second, it may be necessary to implement some form of feedback control, in order to maintain the system at a desired level. Finally, it is important to consider the potential for instability, and to take steps to prevent this from occurring.

In conclusion, the research has shown that the system is highly sensitive to changes in the input parameters, and that the output is highly variable. This suggests that the system is not very robust, and that it may be difficult to control in a practical setting. The findings of the study have several implications for the design and control of the system, and it is important to take these into account when developing a control strategy.