A=G79@@5B9CIG`H9LH``fl97`:cfa`--Ł

VIA ELECTRONIC FILING

Mr. James McAllister Senior Campaign Finance Analyst Reports Analysis Division Federal Election Commission 999 E Street, NW Washington, DC 20463

Re:ID Number C00531624, Amended 30 Day Post-General Report

Dear Mr. McAllister:

This letter responds to your request for additional information dated February 21, 2013 regarding the 2012 30-Day Post-General Report filed by Local Voices (the ?PAC?). Your request sought information about two payments made on October 20, 2012: a \$1,000 payment to Paul Krumper and a \$500 payment to Michael Pisano. These payments related to an

independent expenditure distributed on October 29, 2012, supporting President Barack Obama. Both payments were disclosed on Schedule E of the PAC?s original Post-General Report timely filed on December 6, 2012.

The payments were made by a field producer with little experience in Federal Election Commission (?Commission?) compliance. The producer failed to inform the PAC?s compliance staff of these expenditures. The PAC?s compliance staff learned of them only by reconciling bank statements. Because the compliance staff were unaware of the payments, the PAC failed to include the payments to Krumper and Pisano on its pre-election 24-hour reports of independent expenditures. Upon discovering the payments, the PAC timely reported them on Schedule E of its Post-General Report, which covered the independent expenditure?s October 29 distribution date. Commission regulations do not require a political committee to amend a 24-hour report when an organization subsequently discovers an error; rather, the committee must file an accurate accounting of its expenditures on its next regularly-scheduled report. The PAC complied with this requirement.

In addition to reporting the payments as described above, the PAC took immediate action to prevent future compliance missteps, with the result being that the producer was not responsible for handling any expenses after the aforementioned payments. In the future, the PAC intends to restrict check-writing authority to the treasurer and compliance staff, and to provide more intensive compliance training to its producers.

While the PAC acknowledges it did not include these two payments on its 24-hour reports of independent expenditures, the PAC had no intent to hide the payments, nor did this omission have the effect of hampering transparency. The two payments that a producer failed to report to the PAC?s compliance staff were a small fraction of the total cost of this independent expenditure, whose other production costs, post-production expenses and advertising costs all were timely disclosed on 24-hour reports. And, these two payments amounted to one-tenth of one percent of the PAC?s total 2012 independent expenditures, which the PAC has otherwise disclosed to the Commission in a timely manner. Further, as noted above, the two payments were included in the timely filed Post-General Report. In sum, the public?s understanding of the PAC?s activities was impaired only slightly by the PAC?s inadvertent failure to include these two payments on its 24-hour reports.

I hope this addresses your request for information. If you have any questions, please don?t hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Houston King Treasurer PAGE 1 / 2

A=G79@@5B9CIG`H9LH``fl97`:cfa`--Ł

Local Voices

PAGE 2 / 2