

A-G79 @B9CI G'H9LH'fl 97 : cfa ' - - Ł

This Form 99 is to explain changes in activity between the committees original reports and our amended ones, as referenced in letters to the campaign from the FEC on February 13, 2013. Instead of hiring and paying a single outside company to maintain operations, the campaign paid a large number of people directly for contract labor services ranging from office maintenance to canvassing. While realizing this would lead to a larger amount of activity, the magnitude and abruptness of the increase was unexpected and unprepared for. We suddenly had to prepare a gross number of checks quickly, often, and for small amounts. These disbursements comprised the majority of the activity within the campaign and ultimately monopolized our attention (majority by number of checks, not monetary value). The physical checks were written and signed by the treasurer, and then copies were filed until referenced for entry into FEC reports. Amendments to the original reports were needed due to human error resulting from deviations from this normal procedure. Such deviations led to activity occurring without our immediate knowledge and therefore incurred delayed updates to our records. The following are such occurrences, which are highlighted in the aforementioned letters from the FEC.

Amendments include three in-kind contributions totaling \$5780.59 worth of activity that was originally omitted from the 2011 Year-End and the 2012 April Quarterly reports. Amendments include a number of automatic debits to the account; for example, specific to the amended 2012 October report, six bank fees totaling the \$1130.60. A small number of large wire transfers were found to be omitted, including an advertising payment added to the Pre-Primary report made to Latimundo on April 26, 2012 for \$39,000.00. On the July report, amendments were made to add wired payments to Rindy Miller Media for \$250,000.00 on May 21, 2012 and to Latimundo for \$405,000.00 on May 18, 2012. Additionally, we believe that some of the errors are an effect of technical problems we experienced during the campaign. During the Spring of 2012, we had to restore our financial files and FEC file to a new computer, and in October of 2012, the software suddenly refused to upload an amendment. We promptly began working with both our FEC analyst and the FEC technical support team to identify and resolve all the problems. Together, we discovered that the reports on our FEC computer file and uploaded reports did not match. Ultimately, this brought additional data errors to our attention, specifically a small batch of contract labor checks was found to contain duplicate entries which were then removed. Lastly, amendments were made to remove disbursements that were voided. Goods and services were usually secured for the campaign by a third party, but paid directly by the campaign. In these instances, the goods or services sometimes changed while payment was in transit from the treasurer to the final recipient, resulting in checks being voided by the third party consultant and thereby incurring a delayed update to our records. For example, such occurrences required the removal of a disbursement to Hyatt Regency Hotel on May 26, 2012 for \$5292.00 and also the removal of multiple contract labor checks that were voided onsite at our various offices. As problems were discovered, we immediately began amending reports to reflect the correct activity; however, given the vast amount of activity that occurred in such a short amount of time, the corrections were often difficult to identify and correct in a timely manner.